

**CALIFORNIA SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT BOARD (CASOMB)
FEBRUARY 17, 2011, 9:00 AM**

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA - CORRECTIONS STANDARDS AUTHORITY
660 BERCUT DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95811**

Meeting Minutes

Board Member Check-in:

Present

- Gerry Blasingame
- Rick DaBell
- Janet Neeley
- Nancy O'Malley
- Lee Seale
- Tom Tobin
- Diane Webb
- Sandra Henriquez

Absent

- Robert Ambroselli
- Peter Espinoza
- Alana Forrest
- William Gailey
- Michael Johnson
- Pamela King
- Gary Lieberstein
- Jerry Powers
- Angela Thompson

Introduction of Members and Review of Minutes

- The Board welcomed its newest member, Sandra Henriquez, Director of the [California Coalition Against Sexual Assault](#).
- Minutes from the January meeting were unavailable and will be reviewed alongside the February meeting minutes at the March CASOMB meeting.

Legislative Updates

- Kris Applegate, Legislative Manager, California Department of Corrections Rehabilitation, briefed the board on the following Senate and Assembly Bills:
 - AB 12
 - AB 13
 - AB 90
 - AB 179
 - AB 193
 - AB 321
 - AB 322
 - AB 421
 - SB 54
 - SB 55
 - SB 57
 - SB 179
 - SB 271

All of these Bills can be accessed in greater detail at the [Bill Information](#) section of the Official California Legislative Information web page.

State Updates

- Jan Neeley informed the Board that the State Authorized Risk Assessment Tools for Sex Offenders (SARATSO) Review Committee had completed a statewide training on the Static-99R risk assessment tool; all of the approximately 300 attendees will now assist in transitioning their departments into the use of the Static-99R.
- Jan also stated that the SARATSO Committee had selected the Structured Risk Assessment: Forensic Version (SRA: FV) to be the approved dynamic risk instrument for use in California.
- Jack Wallace provided the Board with the following sex offender statistics:

	January 2010	January 2011
Total Active	7,004	6,744
Cost of Subsidized Housing	\$617,000	\$281,299
Sex Offenders Receiving Assistance	621	666
Transient	2,138	2,105
Parolees at Large	976	878

Realignment Discussion

- Nancy O'Malley felt that the Board should give an opinion to the Governor's office on the realignment of Probation and Parole as well as recommendations on how to handle the task of moving offenders to the local level.
- Tom Tobin and Nancy stated that if management of offenders is going to take place at a local level, a method for the counties to communicate effectively with one another should be developed alongside of standardized policies.
- Jack said that, if parolees were to be supervised at the local level, creating a distinction between county parole and county probation would prevent a complete overhaul of the penal code.
- Some members of the Board felt that the far reaching issues and considerable changes involved in a possible realignment of Parole and Probation warranted further discussion at next month's meeting.

Probation Treatment Providers

- Diane Webb provided the Board with the following information she had received from the County Probation Offices in California:
 - 55 of the 58 County Probation Offices responded with information on the sex offender treatment providers in their counties.
 - 8 counties do not have treatment providers.
 - Responses have yet to be received from Merced, Tulare, and Yuba.
 - All of the counties require their sex offenders to pay for treatment.
 - 24 of the counties reported a serious problem with offender paying for their treatment
 - 17 counties said requiring offenders to pay for their treatment did not present any problems.
 - The counties differed in the qualifications they require their treatment providers to meet. They provided the following information about their standards:
 - 28 of the counties had no special qualifications for their treatment providers
 - 10 of the counties stated that they set minimum qualifications for their treatment providers
 - 2 counties require their treatment providers to meet standards put forth by The Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers.

- The Board was in agreement that there were further questions that should be posed to the counties. These questions will be withheld until the March 17 CASOMB meeting and will be addressed within the Research on Treatment Providers subcommittee.

Timeline for Certification

- Jack suggested that the Board should establish due dates for the different subcommittees in order to have a process for certifying sex offender treatment providers ready in May of this year. The following due dates were established:
 - 03/17 – Polygraphist Certification Rough Draft
 - 03/17 – Certification Rough Draft
 - 03/17 – Appeal Process Rough Draft
 - 04/21 – Certification Final Draft
- Documents approved by the Board will be released to the CASOMB website and made available for public comment after the April 21 CASOMB meeting.

THE NEXT MEETING WILL BE ON THURSDAY, MARCH 17, 2011