

California Sex Offender Management Board
May 22, 2008, 9:00 AM
Doubletree Hotel

Minutes:

Board Member Check-in

- Present members (Quorum)
 - Suzanne Brown-McBride (Chair)
 - Tom Tobin (Vice-chair)
 - Robert Ambroselli
 - Gerry Blasingame
 - Pamela King
 - Nancy OMalley
 - Clyde Steele
 - Angela Thompson
 - Diane Webb
- Absent members
 - Catherine Duggan
 - Judge Peter Espinoza
 - Janet Gaard
 - Michael Johnson
 - Sophia McBeth-Childs
 - Jerry Powers
 - David Runnels

Minutes

- Corrections made
- Motion made to approve minutes as amended made by Gerry Blasingame, seconded by Tom Tobin and approved by board.

State Updates

- California Coalition on Sexual Offending (CCOCO) had conference in San Francisco last week with 325 attendees.
- Last week, the California Legislative Women's Caucus, in conjunction with the Senate Public Safety Committee, held an informational hearing on sexual violence, at which Suzanne Brown-McBride spoke on behalf of CALCASA.

Legislative Update—*See Attachment.*

BSA Audit Discussion

- Board members find many of the report's premises and findings deeply problematic, though the report does successfully identify many gaps in data.
- CASOMB is mentioned in the report although there was no communication with the Board.
- Board members wish to make public comment about the deficiencies of the report. Suzanne Brown-McBride will write the Board response and Nancy O'Malley will be second reader.

Housing Paper Discussion

- Out of the 4782 registrants in CA eligible for Prop 83, 970 are now homeless.
- Board members debate potential elements of paper:
 - Whether to pay attention to risk types (such as the fact that a senior citizen rapist can live next to a retirement home but not an elementary school) or whether the significant number of sex offenders who crossover diminish this argument's impact.
 - Whether there should be a focus on where sex offenders *should* live, as opposed to where they should not, or if this is an extraneous point given that proximity does not appear to affect recidivism.
- The Board agrees to include in the housing paper:
 - The assertion that any decision to not deal with residency restriction issues is, in effect, a decision to leave a number of the sex offender population homeless, which is an implicit if not overt decision to leave communities at greater risk.
 - The fact that stability (of housing, services, supervision, and transportation) matter, but location does not.
- The Board will revisit conversation.

Future Agenda Items

- DMH evaluation
- Education/public outreach—how the Board should handle requests for information
- Issue of consistency in certification of treatment provider standards
- CDCR bid process and quality assurance
- Board committee structure
- Research on GPS specific to risk
- Adam Walsh Act
-

The next meeting will take place on June 19, 2008.